

ANNEX

Sajhedari Bikaas Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheets (PIRS)

Table of Contents

| Indicator No. | Indicator Code/Statement | Page |
|----------------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | G.01 Proportion of budgeted VDC projects that were fully implemented/finalized within the previous planning cycle | 4 |
| 2 | A.1.01 Proportion of households that have experienced conflict in the past year that have used peaceful means to resolve the conflict | 5 |
| 3 | A.1.02 Number of host national inhabitants reached through USG assistance public information campaigns to support peaceful resolution of conflicts. | 6 |
| 4 | A.1.03 Proportion of all mediation cases opened during the quarter preceding the period that were settled within 3 months | 8 |
| 5 | A.1.04 Proportion of project VDCs that provide a budget in the current financial year that covers the operating costs of the CMC (rental, stipend of mediator, other office costs, transport) | 9 |
| 6 | A.1.05 F: Number of new groups or initiatives created through USG funding, dedicated to resolving conflict or the drivers of the conflict | 11 |
| 7 | A.1.06 F: Number of groups trained in conflict mitigation/resolution skills or consensus building techniques with USG assistance | 12 |
| 8 | A.1.07 Number of new cases of individual/group conflict mediation opened during the reporting period | 13 |
| 9 | A.1.08 Percentage of successfully mediated local level disputes among women, youth or people from marginalized groups as a result of USG assistance (custom) | 14 |
| 10 | A.1.09 Number of local level conflict mitigated with USG assistance. | 16 |
| 11 | A.2.01 Proportion of supported economic groups that provide more than 5 loans per year to their members | 18 |
| 12 | A.2.02 Number of youth who started a business or found a job through project activities | 19 |
| 13 | A.2.03 Number of individuals who received USG-assisted training on inclusion (GESI charter) and the understanding of the root causes of the conflicts | 20 |
| 14 | A.2.04 Number of new/existing women's economic groups formed during the reporting period | 22 |
| 15 | A.2.05 Number of Youth Groups and CMUs that have been established/revived/operational in the reporting period | 23 |
| 16 | A.2.06 Proportion of VDCs who have youth groups/CMU who participate in the VDC planning process | 24 |
| 17 | A.2.07 F: Number of non-state news outlets assisted by USG | 25 |
| 18 | A.2.08 F: Number of local women participating in a substantive role or position in a peacebuilding process supported with USG assistance. | 26 |
| 19 | A.2.09 Number of people from marginalized groups participating in a substantive role or position in a peacebuilding process supported with USG assistance. | 28 |
| 20 | A.2.10 Percentage of leadership positions in USG supported community management entities that are filled by a woman or member of a vulnerable group (custom). | 29 |
| 21 | A.2.11 F: Number of USG funded events, trainings, or activities designed to build support for peace or reconciliation on a mass scale. | 31 |
| 22 | A.2.12 Number of people participating in USG supported events, trainings or activities designed to build mass support for peace and reconciliation | 32 |
| 23 | A.2.13 Number of media stories disseminated with USG support to facilitate the advancement of reconciliation or peace process. | 34 |
| 24 | B.1.01 Number of local key stakeholders (community leaders, local government officials), trained in inclusive participatory planning | 35 |
| 25 | B.1.02 Proportion of ward citizen forums(WCFs) with GESI strategies in place | 37 |
| 26 | B.2.01 Proportion of village development plans with a functional sustainability plan that | 39 |

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| | adheres to locally agreed standards | |
| 27 | B.2.02 Number of Ward Citizen Forums that review the village development plan to ensure equitable distribution of local resources | 40 |
| 28 | B.2.03 Proportion of Ward Citizen Forum members who indicate that they can provide meaningful input to the village development plans | 41 |
| 29 | B.3.01 Proportion of village development plans that include a fundraising plan | 43 |
| 30 | C.1.01 Proportion of citizens who feel that the administration of funds in the VDC is transparent | 44 |
| 31 | C.2.01 Proportion of citizens who indicate that they actively participate in the decisions around VDC community development projects | 45 |
| 32 | C.2.02 Proportion of community projects that are allocated to women, youth or marginalized groups | 46 |
| 33 | C.3.01 Proportion of partners that improve their organizational capacity | 48 |
| 34 | D.1.01 Percentage improvement in positive citizen views on VDC service delivery | 49 |
| 35 | D.1.02 F: Number of sub-national entities receiving USG assistance that improve their performance Minimum Condition and Performance Measure (MC/PM) index | 50 |
| 36 | D.1.03 Number of VDC officials trained and/or mentored in public administration, inclusive management, planning and implementation of VDC plans | 52 |
| 37 | D.2.01 Number of times technical assistance is provided to government | 53 |
| 38 | D.2.02 Proportion of Ward Citizen Forums that have tracked their budget allocation | 54 |
| 39 | D.2.03 Percentage improvement in positive citizen views on the effective implementation of community development projects | 56 |
| 40 | D.2.04 Number of sub-national governments receiving USG assistance to increase their annual own-source revenue. | 57 |
| 41 | D.2.05 Number of local mechanisms supported with USG assistance for citizens to engage their sub-national government. | 58 |
| 42 | D.2.06 Number of local non-governmental and public sector associations supported with USG assistance. | 60 |
| 43 | D.3.01 Proportion of projects at VDC level that were budgeted in the previous planning cycle, that were in the top 5 priorities list of community forums (WCFs) | 61 |
| 44 | E.1.01 F: Number of awards made directly to local organization | 62 |
| 45 | E.1.02 F: Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income or employment) | 64 |
| 46 | E.1.03 F: Percentage of target population that views GBV as less acceptable after participating in or being exposed to USG programming | 65 |
| 47 | E.1.04 Number of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) receiving USG assistance engaged in advocacy interventions (2.4.1-9) | 67 |
| 48 | E.1.05 Proportion of supported FM radio stations that produce quality radio programs that address issues on local government, youth, women, disadvantaged groups | 68 |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 01

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: All |
| Project IR: All |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (<i>be specific</i>): DO 1: More inclusive and effective governance |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to 14-step planning process, capacity building of WCFs/IPFCs/VDCs on inclusive and participatory planning process, and social accountability) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: G0.01 Proportion of budgeted VDC projects that were fully implemented/finalized within the previous planning cycle |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Impact |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): Fully implemented/finalized means that the work has been completed and the expenditure was settled. 'Budgeted VDC projects' refers to projects approved by village councils with budget allocations from different sources such as VDC internal sources, grant from GoN among others. Numerator: Number of VDC projects with work completed and expenditure settled within the previous planning cycle Denominator: Number of VDC projects budgeted for previous planning cycle |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts/VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (<i>optional</i>): VDC projects are not always completed within the planning cycle and Sajhedari Bikaas intends to improve the implementation capacity of the VDCs to do so. Through measuring this indicator, SB will measure the impact of the project activities. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs such as training on 14-step planning process to WCFs, CAC, IPFC and Monitoring Committee, Objective B & C |
| Data Source: VDC Annual Work Plans, VDC progress reports and Governance LNGOs reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Institutional Survey using DAT (Data Abstraction Tools) by LNGOs |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually in October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (<i>optional</i>): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Data on budget and expenditure for all projects from sampled VDCs need to be collected. This provision was not made clear in previous tool so that both (budget and expenditure) data were collected only on some projects. Therefore VDC project budgets and expenditure were not comparable. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: The provision will be made in the tool to collect information on both (budget and expenditure) for all implemented projects from sampled VDCs within a fiscal year. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (<i>optional</i>): Percentage of VDC Projects completed and finalized within planning cycle; projects for women, youth and marginalized groups; proportion of budget allocated to projects for women, youth and marginalized groups; and trend comparison over time. |
| Presentation of Data (<i>optional</i>): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (<i>optional</i>): |
| Team Review (<i>optional</i>): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |

| |
|--|
| Baseline Timeframe <i>(optional)</i> : Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Other Notes <i>(optional)</i> : |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units : Districts, Project area by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units <i>(optional)</i> : Project level only |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator : |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 02 |
| Project Goal : Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective : A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR : A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements <i>(be specific)</i> : CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name : Sajhedari Bikaas (Awareness building activities to use peaceful means to resolve six different types of conflict/disputes.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator : A.1.01 Proportion of households that have experienced conflict in the past year that have used peaceful means to resolve the conflict |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator : Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework : Indicator Type : Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s) : This indicator includes households that experienced any of the six types of conflict/dispute internally or with other households and used any peaceful means to resolve it. The six types of conflict/dispute are 1) gender-based violence, 2) identity-based conflict, 3) caste-based conflict, 4) political conflict, 5) interpersonal conflict, 6) resource-based conflict. Peaceful means of resolution include CMC, Police, DAO, District Court and VDC that has judicial authority. Numerator : Number of household that experienced any conflict/dispute and used peaceful means to resolve it within last year. Denominator : Number of household that experienced any conflict/dispute within last year. |
| Unit of Measure : Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by : Districts, project phases, types of conflict/dispute, caste/ethnicity |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach <i>(optional)</i> : Rural community households experience various types of conflict/dispute within their household or with other households. Sometimes these households choose to use non-peaceful means to resolve their conflict/dispute. Therefore SB conducts activities to make communities aware about what are effective peaceful means to resolve their conflicts. Through measuring this indicator SB will measure the outcome of the project's alternative dispute resolution (ADR) activities. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards : Activities conducted by CeLRRd under objective A: ADR. CeLRRd conducts social marketing to make communities aware of CMCs as a peaceful means to resolve their conflict/dispute. They also train Community Mediators and establish and operate CMCs that facilitate the mediation processes. |
| Data Source : Households of SB project areas |
| Method of Data Acquisition : HH Survey using HH questionnaires by external consultant, thematic outcome survey |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition : baseline, bi-annual (perception survey), and end of the project |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID : Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID : Nick Langton, COP |

| |
|---|
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Data collection tool is very long. Conflict types should be limited to six categories as used for conflict mapping system and community mediation reporting by SB. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: HH survey questionnaire will be developed by external consultant and SB Implementing Partners together. Plan to improve questionnaire during next survey. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Percentage of households that experienced various types of conflict by district, caste/ethnicities, project implementation phases. Trend analysis comparing previous findings. Comparison among districts and caste/ethnicities. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): As described in data analysis graphs showing the trend by type of conflicts can be presented. |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts, project area by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Project level only |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 03 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to provide information on peaceful resolution of conflicts to households. The campaigns reached to the households providing information to at least one man and one woman from the same households to raising knowledge and understanding of the root causes of conflict such as discrimination and exclusion from access to resources and opportunities based on gender, caste/ethnicity, religions, age, differently-abled status, and geographic location.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.02 Number of host inhabitants reached through USG assistance public information campaign to support peaceful resolution of conflicts. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of people reached to increase their knowledge and understanding on the root causes of conflicts and their peaceful means to resolve that conflicts. This includes social marketing of different forms conducted by CeLRRd, CMCs and others SB partners under integration. |

| |
|---|
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of individuals |
| Disaggregated by: Gender, caste/ethnicities, VDCs and districts. |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To raise people's knowledge and understanding of the root causes of conflicts their peaceful resolution. To address the potential for mitigating conflicts through improving GESI and minimizing exclusion and marginalization. Creating an enabling environment where access to resources and opportunities are more inclusive. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Social marketing activities conducted by CeLRRd and other SB implementing partners following integration approaches. |
| Data Source: Activities completion reports. |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Data from activities completion reports are entered into activities database maintained by CeLRRd and other SB implementing partners. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Activities Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Social marketing campaign activities only reported number of households reached without their caste/ethnicities. However indicator required number of people reached disaggregated by gender, caste ethnicities. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: The implementing partners will be orientated to report social marketing campaign activities progress data on people reached by campaigns disaggregated by gender and caste/ethnicities if possible age groups. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Social marketing campaign data will be analyzed to know the proportion of people reached by gender, caste/ethnicity, age group, VDC and districts, and will be compared with the proportion of SB beneficiaries in those areas. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units (optional): District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 04

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to operation of Community Mediation Centre, training to Community Mediators on how to facilitate mediation process, sharing meeting of mediators at VDC and district levels.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.03 Proportion of all mediation cases opened during the quarter preceding the period that were settled within 3 months |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016. If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| <p>Precise Definition(s): Cases are registered at CMCs by the first party submitting an application form. After registration, the CMC coordinator starts the process by contacting the second party and arranging for a mediation meeting. If the two parties succeed in reaching a resolution, then both parties will sign an agreement, copies of which will be given to each party and another filed at the CMC. If they did not succeed in reaching a resolution during the first meeting then they arrange another meeting. If the meetings continue to prove unsuccessful then the mediators will suggest that the conflicting parties seek other means.</p> <p>“Opened during the quarter preceding the period” means cases registered within the three months before the reporting month. “Settled within 3 months” means cases that were resolved by an agreement within 3 months of registration.</p> <p>Numerator: Number of cases registered within the three months before reporting month those were settled within the 3 months after registration</p> <p>Denominator: Number of cases registered within three months before reporting period</p> |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: VDCs, project phases, districts, first party and second party gender, caste/ethnicity, age groups and types of conflicts/disputes |
| <p>Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): VDC members experience various disputes and there are no easy and effective means to mediate the disputes in the community. People have to depend either on traditional mechanisms such as community or religious leaders (who are not trained to mediate), police, the District Administration Office, or the District Courts which are expensive and inaccessible to poor and marginalized groups. Therefore SB is supporting training people on community mediation and establishing and operating Community Mediation Centers (CMCs). SB also builds awareness of CMC operations and mediation processes. This indicator will measure the percentage of conflicts that were prevented from escalating or becoming prolonged, therefore contributing to an improved enabling environment.</p> |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by CeLRRd such as preparing CMs, establishing and operating CMCs, conducting social marketing for raising awareness in communities of the roles of CMs and CMCs |
| Data Source: CMCs, Community Mediation Database and CeLRRd periodic reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Collecting case information from CMCs on a monthly basis. Data entry is done into the Community Mediation Database at CeLRRd district offices. Databases are compiled at CeLRRd regional offices monthly and submitted to SB office quarterly. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Community Mediation Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |

| |
|--|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Lack of appropriate data recording system led to repeated cases being reported. Similarly, there were several pieces of missing data such as gender and age of second party. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: A Community Mediation Database has been developed in MS Access and CeLRRd staff members have been trained to operate the database. The database has been designed to address the gaps that were present in the MS Excel reporting system. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of cases registered and resolved by VDCs, district, first and second parties' gender, age and caste/ethnicity, type of disputes and reporting period |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: VDCs, districts, project area by implementation phase |
| Baseline Units (optional): Project level only |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 05 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to motivating VDCs to support CMCs operation costs to make sustained beyond SB to provide their services to people.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.04 Proportion of project VDCs that provide a budget in the current financial year that covers the operating costs of the CMC (rental, stipend of mediator, other office costs, transport) |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): CMCs that received partial or full support from VDCs to cover operating costs, such as rental, stipend of mediator, other office costs, and transportation that will help them function beyond SB project. |
| Numerator: Number of VDCs that provided support to CMC in the current financial year |
| Denominator: Number of VDCs in the project area in current financial year |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: District and VDC |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): Sustainability of the CMCs beyond SB requires funding sources to keep them functional so that they can provide mediation services to the community without any financial burden on conflicting parties. VDC funding for CMCs seems viable because VDCs have internal resources and a |

| |
|---|
| Government of Nepal (GON) grant fund. Therefore SB is encouraging VDCs to provide funding to CMCs to keep them functional beyond the SB project. Through measuring this indicator SB will measure the sustainability of ADR activities by making the CMCs functional beyond SB. This indicator also measures the mainstreaming of CM as a government-supported ADR option. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by CeLRRd to persuade VDCs to support CMCs to make it sustainable beyond SB. Objective A |
| Data Source: CMCs, VDC Annual Work Plans, VDC progress reports and CeLRRd progress report |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Institutional survey using DAT (Data Abstraction Tools) by CeLRRd |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: The data collection tools, questionnaire and formats were not appropriate to collect the required data from the data sources. Sampled VDCs did not give complete data. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: SB will ask CMC coordination to collect data from all SB VDCs. SB plans to collect information for current fiscal year. There will be no need to collect information on this indicator by survey conducted by external consultant. The data will instead be collected by CeLRRd. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Percentage of VDCs providing support to CMCs, and percentage of CMC costs covered. Trend of support within VDCs, districts and project implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts, Project area by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Project level only |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 06

| |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to formation of Community Mediator (CM) Group and establishment and operation of Community Mediation Center (CMC)) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.05/1.6.1-12 Number of new groups or initiatives created through USG funding, dedicated to resolving conflict or the drivers of the conflict. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 1.6.1 Conflict Mitigation Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator registers the creation of a new group or entity, as well as the launch of a new initiative or movement by an existing entity that is dedicated to resolving conflict or the drivers of the conflict. Group includes registered non-government organizations, clubs, associations, networks, or similar entities. Initiative may be campaigns. Programs, projects, or similar sets of activities sustained over a period of three or more months by the same types of groups/entities. Building peace or resolving conflict must be a stated purpose of the group or initiative as expresses in a grant proposal or documentation submitted to the USG, but peace-building need not be the publicly stated purpose. Groups/entities may not include the USG, Host Government, political parties, or security forces. To be counted in this indicator, USG funding must have been a necessary enabling factor leading to the creation of the group or initiatives. In SB groups are considered for this indicator is Community Mediation Centre, Women Economic Group, Local Youth Group, Radio Listener Group, and Ward Citizen Forum. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of groups |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To assess the number of CMCs formed and coverage of VDCs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Centre for Legal Research and Resource Development (CeLRRd). Objective: A |
| Data Source: CMC Database and CeLRRd reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Collection and compilation of Group Database |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Group Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: CMC profiles are updated by removing the CM name. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to update the CMCs profile without removing the name of outgoing CMs from CMCs profile. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of CMs by gender, caste/ethnicity, age groups, districts and VDCs. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |

| |
|---|
| Initial Review Conducted by <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Team Review <i>(optional)</i> : |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe <i>(optional)</i> : January 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Other Notes <i>(optional)</i> : |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units : Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units <i>(optional)</i> : District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator : |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 07 |
| Project Goal : Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective : A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR : A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements <i>(be specific)</i> : CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name : Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to formation of Community Mediator (CM) Group and establishment and operation of Community Mediation Centre (CMC)) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator : A.1.06/2.3.1-6: Number of groups trained in conflict mitigation/resolution skills or consensus building techniques with USG assistance |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator : Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework : SAF 2.3.1-6 Consensus Building Processes Indicator Type : Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s) : This indicator measures the number of CMCs having trained CMs in conflict mitigation/resolution skills or consensus building techniques. A group is considered trained when the persons have successfully completed the basic mediation courses for 8 days and the group mediation course for 3 days. |
| Numerator : Not applicable Denominator : Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure : Number of groups |
| Disaggregated by : Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach <i>(optional)</i> : To assess the number of CMCs formed, which demonstrates that community members have skills to address conflict at the community level. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards : Activities implemented by Centre for Legal Research and Resource Development (CeLRRd). Objective: A |
| Data Source : CeLRRd Training Database and reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition : Collection and compilation of Training Database |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition : Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID : Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID : Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage <i>(optional)</i> : DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Training Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |

| |
|--|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of CMCs that have received training by month and type of training. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units (optional): District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 08 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to formation of Community Mediator (CM) Group and establishment and operation of Community Mediation Centre (CMC)) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.07 Number of new cases of individual/group conflict mediation opened during the reporting period |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of conflict/dispute cases registered at CMCs within the reporting period. Cases are registered at CMCs by the first party submitting an application form. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of cases |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDCs, first and second party gender, caste/ethnicity, age group and type of conflict/dispute |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To assess the number of cases registered at CMCs and to monitor trends in case registration by type of conflict/dispute. Case registrations demonstrate that people are opting for community mediation as a peaceful means to resolve conflict. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Centre for Legal Research and Resource Development (CeLRRd). Objective: A |
| Data Source: CMCs, Community Mediation Database and CeLRRd reports |

| |
|--|
| Method of Data Acquisition: Collection and compilation of Community Mediation Database |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Community Mediation Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Community Mediation Database was previously recorded in MS Excel and collected monthly from districts then compiled in one sheet. There had been instances of duplication as some cases were reported twice, once for registration and again when it was resolved in the month following the registration month. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: A new community mediation database has been designed in MS Access which sends updates from districts to the regional office where it is compiled electronically. Preview analysis reports are also included in the new system. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of conflict/disputes cases registered by type, first and second party gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups, VDC and district. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units (optional): District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 09 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to operation of Community Medication Centre, training to Community Mediators on how to facilitate mediation process, sharing meeting of mediators at VDC and district levels.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.08 Percentage of successfully mediated local level disputes among women, youth or people from marginalized group as a result of USG assistance. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016. If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): Cases are registered at CMCs by the first party submitting an application form. After registration, the CMC coordinator starts the process by contacting the second party and arranging for a mediation meeting. If the two parties succeed in reaching a resolution, then both parties will sign an agreement, copies of which will be given to each |

| |
|---|
| party and another filed at the CMC. If they did not succeed in reaching a resolution during the first meeting then they arrange another meeting. If the meetings continue to prove unsuccessful then the mediators will suggest that the conflicting parties seek other means. |
| “Marginalized groups” are first party from all caste/ethnicities categories excluding 1) Hill Brahmin/Chhetri, 3) Newar and 5) Terai/Madheshi Brahmin/Rajput. |
| Numerator: Number of all cases resolved by CMCs within the reporting period. |
| Denominator: Number of cases resolved by CMCs within the reporting period where first party was from women, youth or marginalized groups. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: VDCs, project phases, districts, first party and second party gender, caste/ethnicity, age groups and types of conflicts/disputes |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): VDC members experience various disputes and there are no easy and effective means to mediate the disputes in the community. People have to depend either on traditional mechanisms such as community or religious leaders (who are not trained to mediate), police, the District Administration Office, or the District Courts which are expensive and inaccessible to poor and marginalized groups. Therefore SB is supporting training people on community mediation and establishing and operating Community Mediation Centers (CMCs). SB also builds awareness of CMC operations and mediation processes. This indicator will measure the percentage of conflicts that were prevented from escalating or becoming prolonged, therefore contributing to an improved enabling environment. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by CeLRRd such as preparing CMs, establishing and operating CMCs, conducting social marketing for raising awareness in communities of the roles of CMs and CMCs |
| Data Source: CMCs, Community Mediation Database and CeLRRd periodic reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Collecting case information from CMCs on a monthly basis. Data entry is done into the Community Mediation Database at CeLRRd district offices. Databases are compiled at CeLRRd regional offices monthly and submitted to SB office quarterly. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Community Mediation Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Lack of appropriate data recording system led to repeated cases being reported. Similarly, there were several pieces of missing data such as gender and age of second party. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: A Community Mediation Database has been developed in MS Access and CeLRRd staff members have been trained to operate the database. The database has been designed to address the gaps that were present in the MS Excel reporting system. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of cases registered and resolved by VDCs, district, first and second parties' gender, age and caste/ethnicity, type of disputes and reporting period |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |

| |
|--|
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: VDCs, districts, project area by implementation phase |
| Baseline Units (optional): Project level only |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 10 |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.1) Early responses that address the causes and consequences of instability are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to operation of Community Medication Centre, training to Community Mediators on how to facilitate mediation process, sharing meeting of mediators at VDC and district levels.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.1.09 Number of local level conflict mitigated with USG assistance. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016. If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): Cases are registered at CMCs by the first party submitting an application form. After registration, the CMC coordinator starts the process by contacting the second party and arranging for a mediation meeting. If the two parties succeed in reaching a resolution, then both parties will sign an agreement, copies of which will be given to each party and another filed at the CMC. If they did not succeed in reaching a resolution during the first meeting then they arrange another meeting. If the meetings continue to prove unsuccessful then the mediators will suggest that the conflicting parties seek other means. |
| Numerator: Not applicable. |
| Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of conflict |
| Disaggregated by: VDCs, project phases, districts, first party and second party gender, caste/ethnicity, age groups and types of conflicts/disputes |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): VDC members experience various disputes and there are no easy and effective means to mediate the disputes in the community. People have to depend either on traditional mechanisms such as community or religious leaders (who are not trained to mediate), police, the District Administration Office, or the District Courts which are expensive and inaccessible to poor and marginalized groups. Therefore SB is supporting training people on community mediation and establishing and operating Community Mediation Centers (CMCs). SB also builds awareness of CMC operations and mediation processes. This indicator will measure the percentage of conflicts that were prevented from escalating or becoming prolonged, therefore contributing to an improved enabling environment. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by CeLRRd such as preparing CMs, establishing and operating CMCs, conducting social marketing for raising awareness in communities of the roles of CMs and CMCs |
| Data Source: CMCs, Community Mediation Database and CeLRRd periodic reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Collecting case information from CMCs on a monthly basis. Data entry is done into the Community Mediation Database at CeLRRd district offices. Databases are compiled at CeLRRd regional offices monthly and |

| |
|--|
| submitted to SB office quarterly. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Community Mediation Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Lack of appropriate data recording system led to repeated cases being reported. Similarly, there were several pieces of missing data such as gender and age of second party. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: A Community Mediation Database has been developed in MS Access and CeLRRd staff members have been trained to operate the database. The database has been designed to address the gaps that were present in the MS Excel reporting system. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of cases registered and resolved by VDCs, district, first and second parties' gender, age and caste/ethnicity, type of disputes and reporting period |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: VDCs, districts, project area by implementation phase |
| Baseline Units (optional): Project level only |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 11

| |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to Women Economic Groups (WEGs). SB has formed WEGs and provided them with various capacity building trainings such as leadership development, saving and credit management, enterprise development and management, entrepreneurship, social accountability, GESI and skills based training of various types.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.01 Proportion of supported economic groups that provide more than 5 loans per year to their members |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of loans provided to WEG members in a year. It will not distinguish whether the loan was provided to the same member for not. Numerator: Number of WEGs that provided more than five loans to different or same member in the past year Denominator: Number of WEGs |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: District, project phases and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): Number of loans is considered a positive indicator for sustainability of the WEG. Higher loan numbers contribute to more economic activities by the WEG members. SB provides training on enterprise management and skill based trainings to WEG members to promote economic activities by WEG members. Higher loans also indicate that members are converting savings into investments. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by WORTH NGOs to increase sustainability beyond SB. Objective A |
| Data Source: WEGs and WORTH NGO periodic reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Institutional Survey using DAT (Data Abstraction Tools) by WORTH NGOs |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually, in October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), WORTH Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Need to develop data collection tool. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask EW (Empowerment Worker) to collect information on loan distribution from WEGs. There will be no need to collect information on this indicator using an external consultant. Data will be collected by WORTH NGOs. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of loans provided by WEGs to their members. Trend of loans disbursed within WEG and overall. Trend of loan amounts per persons, per WEG, by districts and project implementation phases. Trend of loan amounts by caste/ethnicity and age groups of WEG members. Trend of loan amounts by purpose of loan. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |

| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
|---|
| Baseline Timeframe <i>(optional)</i> : Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Other Notes <i>(optional)</i> : |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units : Districts, VDCs and Project area by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units <i>(optional)</i> : There were no WEGs before SB and so no baseline for this indicator. However previous periods will serve as a baseline for the current period for VDCs, districts and project implementation phases. |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator : |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 12 |
|--|
| Project Goal : Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective : A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR : A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements <i>(be specific)</i> : CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name : Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to youth employment, either self-employment or paid jobs, conducted by IPs of SB. Youth are consider both female and male youth of ages 16 to 40 years as per GON and 16 to 26 as per UN agencies. WORTH NGOs, YI and F-Skills provide training in entrepreneurship, enterprise development and management, and skills based short term and medium term vocational training such as driving, plumbing, mobile repairing, electrician, mason, carpentry and others as per the demand of beneficiaries from SB VDCs and market needs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator : A.2.02 Number of youth who started a business or found a job through project activities |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator : Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework : Indicator Type : Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s) : This indicator measures the number of youth (female and male) that started business on their own or got paid jobs, both self-employment or working for others, based on skills received from training conducted by SB IPs, LNGOs or F-Skill. Numerator : Not applicable Denominator : Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure : Number of youth |
| Disaggregated by : VDCs, project phases, district, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach <i>(optional)</i> : SB provides training in entrepreneurship, enterprise management, and skills based short term and medium term training to its beneficiaries from the project area. Demonstrates access and participation of youth in economic opportunities. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards : Activities conducted by WORTH NGOs, Youth Initiative and F-Skills Nepal to its beneficiaries in order to start their own business or receive paid jobs. Objective A |
| Data Source : People who received skill-based short term or medium term training from WORTH NGOs, YI and F-Skill, WEGs, LYGs, RLGs, CACs and WORTH NGOs |
| Method of Data Acquisition : Survey by respective NGOs (WORTH LNGOs and YI) and F-Skills Nepal. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition : Annual, in October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID : Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID : Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage <i>(optional)</i> : DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), survey database (raw data) |

| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
|---|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Need to develop data collection tool. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask EW (Empowerment Worker), YI and F-Skills Nepal to collect information on youth employment. There will be no need to collect information on this indicator by external consultant. Data will be collected by WORTH NGOs, YI and F-Skills Nepal. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of youth who initiated their own business or received paid jobs by types of enterprise. Types of jobs matching the knowledge and skills received from trainings. Trend of youths who started businesses or received paid jobs by types of trade based on knowledge and skills received from training. Trend of earning by trade type, caste/ethnicity, gender and age groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts, VDCs and Project area by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): There was no baseline for this indicator. However previous periods will serve as baseline for current period for VDCs, district and project implementation phase. |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: "YI activities" replaced with "project activities" as other IPs will contribute towards youth employment as well as YI. |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 13 |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to provide training on GESI and to raising knowledge and understanding of the root causes of conflict such as discrimination and exclusion from access to resources and opportunities based on gender, caste/ethnicity, religions, age, differently-abled status, and geographic location.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.03 Number of individuals who received USG-assisted training on gender equality and social inclusion and the understanding of the root causes of the conflict |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of people trained to increase their knowledge and understanding of GESI and the root causes of conflict. This includes training on GESI and GBV. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |

| |
|---|
| Unit of Measure: Number of individuals |
| Disaggregated by: Gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups of the trainees, VDC and districts. |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To raise people's knowledge and understanding of GESI and the root causes of conflict. To address the potential for mitigating conflicts through improving GESI and minimizing exclusion and marginalization. Creating an enabling environment where access to resources and opportunities are more inclusive. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Training activities conducted by SB implementing partners including Pact Nepal. Objective: A |
| Data Source: Training registers, training database, periodic reports by SB and Implementing partners |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Data from training registers/attendance sheets are entered into training database maintained by all SB implementing partners including Pact Nepal. The training databases are sent to Pact Nepal for compilation. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Training Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Training database was previously maintain in MS Excel with participants name, address, affiliated organization, caste/ethnicities and age. Much of this data went unused and names were not tracked longitudinally, thus creating an unnecessary collection and reporting burden. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: The training database has been converted into MS Access and names are not required, but data disaggregation has been maintained by training events, VDC, gender, caste/ethnicities, age groups, SB implementing partner and date. Partner M&E officers have been trained to operate the training database in MS Access. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Training data will be analyzed to know the proportion of training participants by training type, gender, caste/ethnicity, age group, VDC and districts, and will be compared with the proportion of SB beneficiaries in those areas. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units (optional): District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 14

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to Women Economic Group (WEG) formation.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.04 Number of new/existing women's economic groups formed during the reporting period |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of WEGs formed by VDCs. The number of groups includes both newly formed groups and inactive groups (either self-started or created by previous projects or activities) that were revived. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of groups |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs; new and existing |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To track the number of active women's groups that serve to organize poor and marginalized women in order to raise their capacity for their own economic development and their participation in decision making processes at home and in their communities. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: WEGs formation activities conducted by WORTH LNGOs. Objective: A |
| Data Source: WEGs and Group Database maintained by WORTH LNGOs |
| Method of Data Acquisition: WEGs data collected from WEG formation completion reports and entered into Group Database. The Group Database is compiled by Pact Nepal for all WORTH LNGOs. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly. |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Group Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Group database was previously maintained in MS Excel. Updating the database by partners has been a challenge. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: The group database has been converted into MS Access and WORTH LNGO M&E officers have been trained to operate it. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of WEG member, caste/ethnicities, age groups, VDC and districts. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |

| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
|---|
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units (optional): District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 15 |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to formation, revival and operation of local youth groups (LYGs) and Community Management Units (CMUs) at VDCs to build the capacity of youths to participate in local planning processes, implementation of community development projects at the VDC level and develop their capacity to be economically active through self-employment and receiving jobs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.05 Number of Youth Groups and CMUs that have been established/revived/operational in the reporting period |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of Local Youth Groups LYGs and Community Management Units (CMUs) that are formed or revived and operational at VDCs. LYG is a group with 25 members formed by both male and female who are between 16 to 26 years of age. CMU is a group of 15 persons of above 26 years of age. CMUs provide guidance to LYGs and also represent youths in meetings where youths cannot participate. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of groups |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To assess the extent of poor and marginalized youth at VDCs participating in groups. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: LYGs/CMUs formation activities conducted by Youth Initiative (YI). Objective: A |
| Data Source: LYGs/CMUs and Group Database maintained by YI |
| Method of Data Acquisition: LYGs/CMUs data collected from LYGs/CMUs formation/revival completion reports and entered into Group Database. The Group Database is compiled by Pact Nepal. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Group Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: LYGs/CMUs database was previously maintained in MS Excel. Updating of the membership by partners had been challenge. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Now the LYGs/CUMs database has been converted into MS Access and YI M&E officers have been trained to operate the Group Database in MS Access. |

| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
|---|
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of LYGs/CMUs members, gender, caste/ethnicities, age groups, VDC and districts. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units (optional): District and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 16 |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to youth groups' participation in VDC Planning Process conducted by Youth Initiative (YI). Youths are capacitated to participate in VDC planning process, including projects benefiting youths.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.06 Proportion of VDCs that have youth groups/CMU that participate in the VDC planning process |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the participation of youth groups and Community Management Units involved in the VDC planning process. Youth are considered both female and male youth aged 16 to 40 years as per GON and 16 to 26 years as per UN agencies. |
| Numerator: Number of VDCs with LYGs and CMUs participating in the planning process |
| Denominator: Number of total VDCs in the project area |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): SB provides training to build the capacity of LYGs and CMUs on participating in the 14 steps of the VDC planning process. This indicator assumes that greater participation by youth groups and CMUs will lead to an increased budget allocation for projects related to youth in VDC plans. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Youth Initiative (YI) NGOs. Objective A |
| Data Source: LYGs/CMUs, VDC planning process minute books, YI periodic reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Group based survey by YI or VDC planning process participation surveys by YI. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually, in October |

| |
|---|
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), survey database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Need to improve data collection tool. Tools developed before did not clearly mention participation of LYGs/CMUs capacitated by SB but rather any youth group. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask LYGs to submit report on participation in VDC planning process. Data will be collected by YI. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion VDCs having LYGs and CMUs participated in VDC Planning Process and proportion of VDCs have included project for youths demanded by LYGs. Types of projects demanded by youths. Proportion of budget allocated to youth projects. Trend of youth projects included in VDCs Plan during Project period. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project area by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): LYGs/CMUs were formed by SB, therefore there was no baseline value for this indicator. However values from the previous period will serve as baseline values for the current period. |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 17 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support local FM Radio Stations in SB Project area.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.07/2.4.2-5: Number of non-state news outlets assisted by USG |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 2.4.2 Media Freedom and Freedom of Information Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of Local FM Radio Stations supported. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of news outlets |
| Disaggregated by: Districts |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To support local FM radio stations to broadcast |

| |
|--|
| radio programs on success stories and issues relevant for protecting rights and promoting empowerment and participation of women, youths and disadvantaged groups in local development planning processes. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: FM radio stations support activities by Equal Access (EA). Objective: A |
| Data Source: Progress reports by EA identifying FM radio stations supported |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Data collection from EA |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Details of support provided to local FM radio stations have not been sent to Pact Nepal. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Detail of support provided to local FM radio stations will be collected in coming years. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of local FM radio stations provided support by types of activities and district. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 18 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support peacebuilding process under objective A where women are considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes. For example, the root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all women members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms are working to reduce the impact of |

| |
|--|
| <i>these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.)</i> |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.08: F 1.6.6/1.1.1-3 F Number of local women participating in a substantive role or position in a peacebuilding process supported with USG assistance. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 1.6.3 Preventive Diplomacy Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of women members in SB created/supported CBOs (CMCs, LYGs/CMUs, LRGs and WEGs) and local government mechanisms (WCFs, IPFCs, CACs and VSMCs). |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of individual |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDCs, caste/ethnicities, age groups |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): The root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all women members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms are working to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.) |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: All activities that support CBOs and local government mechanisms to promote peace building process through women empowerments. Particularly under objective A and B |
| Data Source: Groups Database |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Groups formation and strengthening activities data collected and enter into Group Database |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Name of members are spelled out differently when they are also represented in more than one groups which create difficulty analyzing the integration, and some time caste/ethnicities are incorrect and some missing the age groups. This limitation resulted in poor results analysis. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Partners M&E Officers will be oriented to update the database with correction and providing missing data. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |

| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
|---|
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 19 |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support peacebuilding process under objective A where marginalized groups are <i>considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes. For example, the root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all women members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms are working to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.</i>) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.09: 1.1.1-4 F Number of people from marginalized groups participating in a substantive role or position in a peacebuilding process supported with USG assistance. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 1.6.3 Preventive Diplomacy Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of people/members from marginalized group in SB created/supported CBOs (CMCs, LYGs/CMUs, LRGs and WEGs) and local government mechanisms (WCFs, IPFCs, CACs and VSMCs). Marginalized groups are considered all categories of caste/ethnicities excluding 1) Hill Brahmin/Chhetri, 3) Newar and 5) Terai/Madheshi Brahmin/Rajput. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of individual |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDCs, gender, caste/ethnicities, age groups |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): <i>The root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms from marginalized groups are working to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.</i> |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: All activities that support CBOs and local government mechanisms to promote peace building process through empowerments of people from marginalized groups. Particularly under objective A and B |
| Data Source: Groups Database |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Groups formation and strengthening activities data collected and enter into Group Database |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data) |

| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
|---|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Name of members are spelled out differently when they are also represented in more than one groups which create difficulty analyzing the integration, and some time caste/ethnicities are incorrect and some missing the age groups. This limitation resulted in poor results analysis. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Partners M&E Officers will be oriented to update the database with correction and providing missing data. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 20 |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support peacebuilding process under objective A where marginalized groups are considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes. For example, the root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all women members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms are working to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.10: 1.3.2-1 Percentage of leadership position in USG supported community management entities that are filled by a woman, or member of a vulnerable group. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 1.6.3 Preventive Diplomacy Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number women and people from vulnerable groups in leadership positions (Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, Secretary, Treasurer, Coordinator) in SB created/supported CBOs (Community Mediation Centre, Local Youth Group Radio Listener Group and Women Economic Group) and local government |

| |
|---|
| mechanisms such as Ward Citizen Forum and Community Awareness Centre. Vulnerable groups are considered all categories of caste/ethnicities excluding 1) Hill Brahmin/Chhetri, 3) Newar and 5) Terai/Madheshi Brahmin/Rajput. Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of individual |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDCs, gender, caste/ethnicities, age groups |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): The root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms from marginalized groups are working to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.) |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: All activities that support CBOs and local government mechanisms to promote peace building process through empowerments of women and people from vulnerable groups. Particularly under objective A and B |
| Data Source: Groups Database |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Groups formation and strengthening activities data collected and enter into Group Database |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Name of members are spelled out differently when they are also represented in more than one groups which create difficulty analyzing the integration, and some time caste/ethnicities are incorrect and some missing the age groups. This limitation resulted in poor results analysis. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Partners M&E Officers will be oriented to update the database with correction and providing missing data. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 21

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support peacebuilding process under objective A where marginalized groups are considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes. For example, the root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services. All events, trainings and activities designed with the support of USGs aim to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes and reconciliation.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.11: 1.6.2-12/1.1.1-5 Number USG funded events, trainings or activities designed to build mass support for peace and reconciliation. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 1.6.3 Preventive Diplomacy Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measure the number of USG funded activities--such as trainings or events that aim to build popular support for peace or reconciliation among the general population. Each activity, event or training counted as one unit "Mass scale". In SB mass scale include activities such as radio program, street drama, installing billboard, door to door campaign and other activities that target general population. Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of events, trainings types and activities type |
| Disaggregated by: Type of adopted approaches such as event, training or activity |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): The root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and all members of SB created or supported CBOs and local government mechanisms from marginalized groups are working to reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes.) |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: All events, training and activities that promote peace building process through empowerments of women, youth and people from marginalized/vulnerable groups. Particularly under objective A and B |
| Data Source: Events and activities completion report and training database |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Events, activities and training completion data from completion reports collected and enter into activities completion and training database. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Gaps in events, training and activities implemented and reported in databases. Limited verification process to check data in narrative report and database. |

| |
|--|
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Partners M&E Officers will be oriented to update the database with correction and providing missing data. Implementing partners staffs are instructed to extract data from database for narrative report. Regularly verify data in database and narrative report if data are not extracted from database for using in narrative report. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 22 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established |
| Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support peacebuilding process under objective A where trained and informed people are considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes. For example, the root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services. All informed people reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes and reconciliation.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.12: 1.6.2-14 Number participating in SUG supported events, trainings or activities designed to build mass support for peace and reconciliation. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF 1.6.3 Preventive Diplomacy Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator registered number of men and women identified with a party or parties to the conflict attending event or activities both public and private, related to building support for peace and reconciliation. In SB, this indicator measures the number of community mediation trained by CeLRRd and they are facilitating mediation sessions and are the member of Community Mediation Centers. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of individual |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, gender, caste/ethnicities, age groups |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): The root causes of conflict are considered |

| |
|--|
| discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and peoples participating in SUG funded events, trainings and activities designed by SB reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of them considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes and reconciliation.) |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: All events, training and activities that promote peace building process through empowerments of women, youth and people from marginalized/vulnerable groups. Particularly under objective A and B |
| Data Source: Events and activities completion report and training database |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Events, activities and training completion data from completion reports collected and enter into activities completion and training database. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Gaps in events, training and activities implemented and reported in databases. Limited verification process to check data in narrative report and database. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Partners M&E Officers will be oriented to update the database with correction and providing missing data. Implementing partners staffs are instructed to extract data from database for narrative report. Regularly verify data in database and narrative report if data are not extracted from database for using in narrative report. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 23

Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development

Project Objective: A) Enabling environment for community development established

Project IR: A.2) Enduring solutions to the problems that drive conflict are adopted

Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.1: Peaceful political environment sustained

Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support peacebuilding process under objective A where trained and informed people through media stories are considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes. For example, the root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services. All informed people reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All of media stories considered playing role in one or another way in peacebuilding processes and reconciliation.)

Number/Name of Performance Indicator: A.2.13: 1.6.1-14 Number of media stories disseminated with USG support to facilitate the advancement of reconciliation or peace process.

Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 **If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:** SAF 1.6.3 Preventive Diplomacy **Indicator Type:** Standard, Output

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION

Precise Definition(s): Any story published with USG support or about a USG-funded initiative that can build support for an end to the conflict or the peace process. Media stories include magazine and newspaper articles, television programs, radio broadcasts, online content such as blogs or podcasts, or directly comparable form of disseminating information to broad public audience of host country inhabitant. Stories disseminated on mediums and which are not accessible to host country inhabitants may not count. This indicator measures the number of media stories disseminated through FM Radio Stations supported by EA that dedicated to facilitate the advancement of reconciliation or peace process, stories published on SB Mosaic.

Numerator: Not applicable **Denominator:** Not applicable

Unit of Measure: Number of media stories disseminated.

Disaggregated by: Types of media stories such as case story, interaction, group discussion, providing information on policies, plans, strategies and others

Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): The root causes of conflict are considered discrimination, marginalization, exclusion and lack of access to public resources, good and services and peoples informed by media stories reduce the impact of these through raising awareness of communities and supporting preparation of inclusive participator planning, decisions making, improving access of marginalized communities to public resources, good and services. All media stories are considered playing role in one or another way to facilitate the advancement of reconciliation or peace process through creating people awareness.)

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: All media stories that facilitate the advancement of reconciliation and peace process through informing women, youth and people from marginalized/vulnerable groups of various policies, plan, strategies, provisions and their roles and responsibilities. Particularly under objective A and B

Data Source: Media stories tracker

Method of Data Acquisition: Collection of media stories broadcasted by FM Radio Stations and maintaining media stories tracker.

Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly

Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR

Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP

Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data)

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

| |
|---|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016, Apr. 2016, Jul. 2016 and Oct. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Gaps in media stories broadcasted and reported in databases. Limited verification process to check data in narrative report and database. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Partners M&E Officers of EA will be oriented to update the database with correction and providing missing data. EA staffs are instructed to extract data from database for narrative report. Regularly verify data in database and narrative report if data are not extracted from database for using in narrative report. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 24 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: B) Communities access resources for development |
| Project IR: B.1) Inclusive community strategic planning processes are established |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to rapid assessment of community development and local governance, including training community leaders and local government officials on inclusive participatory planning processes including “Do No Harm” and safe and effective development in conflict.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: B.1.01 Number of local key stakeholders (community leaders, local government officials), trained in inclusive participatory planning |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of key stakeholders (community leaders, i.e. School Management Committee Members, Health Facility Operation Management Committee Members, Mother Groups, Saving and Credit Group Committee Member, Cooperative Board Members, Drinking Water Users Group Committee Members, Irrigation Water Users Group Committee Members, Forest User Group Committee Member, and local government officials, i.e. VDC staff, Health Facility staff, Agriculture Service Center staff, Livestock Service Center staff, Range Post staff and others) trained on inclusive participatory planning processes including “Do No Harm” and safe and effective development in |

| |
|---|
| conflict. Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure: Number of stakeholders |
| Disaggregated by: District, VDC, gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): A critical mass of key stakeholders trained in inclusive participatory planning processes is required so that various groups of people may substantively contribute to VDC plans. Government officials are trained to follow the inclusive participatory planning process while preparing local level plans. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective B |
| Data Source: Training Database, training registers, Governance LNGO periodic progress reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: LNGOs M&E Officers enter data from Training Register/Training attendance sheets into Training Database and send to SB. SB compiles Training Database files from all LNGOs. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly. |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Training Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: June 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: “Do No Harm” and “Safe and Effective Development in Conflict” trainings are not provided for all participants who have provided inclusive participatory planning process training. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Ask Governance LNGOs to provide training on “Do No Harm” and “Safe and Effective Development Training” to all who provided inclusive participatory planning process training. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of people from Key Stakeholders trained in inclusive planning processes including “Do No Harm” and “Safe and Effective Development in Conflict” disaggregated by district, VDC, caste/ethnicities, gender and age groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs. |
| Baseline Units (optional): Not applicable. |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 25

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: B) Communities access resources for development |
| Project IR: B.1) Inclusive community strategic planning processes are established |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.3: Civic participation and advocacy increased |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to rapid assessment of community development and local governance, including training Ward Citizen Forum (WCF) members on inclusive participatory planning processes and GESI strategies.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: B.1.02 Proportion of ward citizen forums (WCFs) with GESI strategies in place |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the status of WCFs that have prepared their GESI strategies. WCFs are trained and facilitated to prepare their own GESI strategies based on GESI policy and of the guidelines of MoFALD. |
| Numerator: Number of WCFs that have GESI strategies in place |
| Denominator: Number of total WCFs included in survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To monitor the implementation of GESI practices by tracking the proportion of WCFs with GESI strategies in place. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective B |
| Data Source: WCFs |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, Perception Surveys, Mid-line and End-line Survey and also WCFs Survey by Governance LNGOs. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Social Mobilizers (SMs) can collect data from WCFs on GESI strategies to improve coverage. This will eliminate the need to include the indicator in a survey, or alternatively the survey can be used for data triangulation. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask Governance LNGOs to collect data from WCFs on their GESI Strategies by SMs. In this way 100% of WCFs will be included in the survey by SMs. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of WCFs having GESI strategies disaggregated by VDCs and Districts. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): January 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |

| |
|--|
| |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and VDCs |
| Baseline Units <i>(optional)</i> : Districts and VDCs |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 26

| |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: B) Communities access resources for development |
| Project IR: B.2) Community development plans are established |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to preparation of Periodic Village Development Plan (PVDP), fund raising plan, preparation of VDC profile, approval of PVDP from VDC council and others.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: B.2.01 Proportion of village development plans with a functional sustainability plan that adheres to locally agreed standards |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| <p>Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the status of VDCs that have periodic village development plans in place which include functional sustainability plans. Sustainability plans include provisions for maintenance and operation costs of projects beyond project period and using locally agreed standards (labor wages fixed by district level committee; construction codes; specifications for drinking water supply system and irrigation system fixed by government; and similar other standards adopted locally).</p> <p>Numerator: Number of periodic village development plans having functional sustainability plan as per locally agreed standard</p> <p>Denominator: Number of total periodic village development plans included in the survey</p> |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): PVDPs are prepared as a strategy to facilitate community development at the VDC level by adopting inclusive participatory planning processes, GESI strategies, and the block grant policy guidelines of MoFALD. Based on this PVDP, Annual VDC Development Plan is prepared containing village develop projects. The indicator is measuring the village development project having sustainability plans that can be operated to make project functional to continue its services. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective B |
| Data Source: VDC Annual Development Plans and PVDPs |
| Method of Data Acquisition: By Governance LNGOs quarterly and external consultant at baseline, perception and end-line survey |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October. |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Social Mobilizers (SMs) can collect data from PVDPs related to functional sustainability plans and locally agreed standards to improve data coverage. This will eliminate the need to include this indicator in a survey, or alternatively it can be used for data triangulation. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask governance LNGOs to collect data from PVDPs on functional sustainability plans and locally agreed standards by SMs. In this way 100% of WCFs will be included in the survey by SMs. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of PVDPs having functional sustainability plans as per locally agreed standards by districts. |

| |
|--|
| Presentation of Data <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Initial Review Conducted by <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Team Review <i>(optional)</i> : |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe <i>(optional)</i> : Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets <i>(optional)</i> : |
| Other Notes <i>(optional)</i> : |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units : Districts |
| Baseline Units <i>(optional)</i> : Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator : |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 27 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: B) Communities access resources for development |
| Project IR: B.2) Community development plans are established |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements <i>(be specific)</i> : CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to capacity building of WCFs Members on their role and responsibility in the 14 step VDC planning process, implementation of block grants policy for focus groups, GESI strategies and others. Activities related to reviewing the village development plans approved by VDC council by WCFs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: B.2.02 Number of Ward Citizen Forums that review the village development plan to ensure equitable distribution of local resources. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the status of WCFs in reviewing the village development plans approved by VDC Councils to ensure the equitable distribution of local resources as per GESI strategies and community priorities. Numerator: Number of WCFs who reviewed the village development plans to ensure equitable distribution of local resources Denominator: Number of total WCFs included in the survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDC |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach <i>(optional)</i> : WCFs are capacitated to review village development plans based on GESI strategies, block grant policies, strategies for focus groups, and the priorities of community members. The review will enable WCFs to better plan, lobby and ensure more equitable distribution of local resources. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective B |
| Data Source: WCF meeting minutes, Governance LNGO partners reports |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, Perception Surveys, Mid-line and End-line Survey and also WCFs Survey by Governance LNGOs. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |

| |
|---|
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Social Mobilizers (SMs) can collect data from WCFs following review of village development plans in order to improve data coverage. This will eliminate the need to include this indicator in a survey, or alternatively it can be used for data triangulation. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask governance LINGOs to collect data from WCFs following review of village development plans by SMs. In this way 100% of WCFs will be included in the survey by SMs. Verification of data will be included in DQA. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of WCFs that reviewed village development plans to ensure equitable distribution of resources by VDCs and Districts. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: VDCs and Districts |
| Baseline Units (optional): VDCs and Districts |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 28 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: B) Communities access resources for development |
| Project IR: B.2) Community development plans are established |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to capacity building of WCFs Members on their role and responsibility in the 14 step VDC planning process, implementation of block grants policy for focus groups, GESI strategies and others. Activities related to reviewing the village development plans approved by VDC council by WCFs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: B.2.03 Proportion of Ward Citizen Forum members who indicate that they can provide meaningful input to the village development plans. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the capacity and readiness of WCFs members to participate in the VDC planning process and contribute to the preparation of inclusive village development plans. Meaningful input is when a WCF |

| |
|---|
| member comments and makes suggestions on issues being discussed and is listened to by others in the meetings. Numerator: Number of WCF members who expressed that they can provide meaningful input to prepare village development plans Denominator: Number of total WCF members included in the survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project phases, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): WCF members are capacitated to participate and contribute to the village development planning process based on GESI strategies, block grant policies for focus groups, and the priorities of communities. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective B |
| Data Source: WCF members |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, Perception Surveys, Mid-line and End-line Survey and also WCFs Survey by Governance LNGOs. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: As per WCF guidelines every year there are 30% incoming new members. If they are interviewed through random sampling before they receive training then their performance will be low. Therefore the questionnaire should screen participants based on whether or not they have received training. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask Governance LNGOs to collect data from WCF members on their capacity and readiness to contribute to the VDC planning process. This information can be used to triangulate the findings from the survey conducted by an external consultant. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of WCF members who indicated that they can contribute to inclusive village development plans to ensure equitable distribution of resources by districts and project area by implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 29

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: B) Communities access resources for development |
| Project IR: B.3) Community based organizations advocate for needed resources for financial, technical and commodity support |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support VDC to prepare fundraising plans and assess potential sources of revenue.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: B.3.01 Proportion of village development plans that include a fundraising plan. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the status of village development plans that included fundraising plans to increase the internal revenue of VDCs and also raise funds for individual projects from various private, public and donor communities. |
| Numerator: Number of total village development plans that include fundraising plans. |
| Denominator: Number of total village development plans included in the survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project phases |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): VDC officials are capacitated to assess the sources of potential taxable resources to increase internal VDC revenue. They are also oriented on how to generate funds from private and public resources for individual projects for poor and marginalized groups. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective B |
| Data Source: VDC Plan /PVDPs and fundraising plans |
| Method of Data Acquisition: by Governance LNGOs quarterly and external consultant at Baseline, perception and end-line surveys. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: As per "Local Governance Act" VDCs are independent and authorized to generate their own resources for implementing their projects in addition to grants received from the GoN. However the questionnaire did not previously collect this information. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Will ask Governance LNGOs to collect data from village development plans on fundraising plans. The questionnaires should also be included in periodic surveys done by external consultants. This information can be used to triangulate the findings from survey conducted by LNGOs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of village development plans included fundraising plans by districts and project areas by implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |

| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
|---|
| Baseline Timeframe <i>(optional):</i> Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets <i>(optional):</i> |
| Other Notes <i>(optional):</i> |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units <i>(optional):</i> Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 30 |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: C) Communities implement inclusive development policies effectively |
| Project IR: C.1) Mechanisms for transparent administration of funds are institutionalized |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements <i>(be specific):</i> CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support transparency in administration of VDC funds, training on management and fiscal skills, technical support and mentoring.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: C.1.01 Proportion of citizens who feel that the administration of funds in the VDC is transparent |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the status of VDC fund administration and citizen trust on the VDC fund mobilization. |
| Numerator: Number of citizen who expressed that VDC fund administration is transparent. |
| Denominator: Number of total citizen included in the survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementation phase, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach <i>(optional):</i> VDC officials and members of local planning and monitoring mechanisms are trained on management and fiscal skills, compliance and good governance including transparency and accountability. The citizens are also made aware of the 14 step VDC planning process, block grant policies for focus groups, and the roles and responsibilities of citizens during planning, implementation and monitoring of VDC plans through media and other mass communication means of SB. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective C |
| Data Source: Citizen from SB areas |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, perception and end-line surveys |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage <i>(optional):</i> DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |

| |
|--|
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Baseline survey data are only representative at the project level. This will undermine the district level variation based on socio-economic and geopolitical context and peoples' caste/ethnicities. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Ask external consultant to make sample size representative at district level by caste/ethnicities, gender and age groups. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of citizens' views on VDC fund administration by districts and project areas by implementation phases, gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 31 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: C) Communities implement inclusive development policies effectively |
| Project IR: C.2) Inclusive management systems are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.3: Civic participation and advocacy increased |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to raising awareness of the inclusive participatory planning process for community development plans. Also raising awareness of citizens on the 14 step planning process, VDC block grant guidelines for focus groups, and citizen roles and responsibilities in community project identification, planning, implementation and monitoring through mass communication.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: C.2.01 Proportion of citizens who indicate that they actively participate in the decisions around VDC community development projects. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of citizens/people participating in decision-making for community development projects. It includes identifying, planning, implementing, and monitoring of projects. Decision making is defined as involvement of citizens and having a say in meetings where community projects are discussed. |
| Numerator: Number of citizens who expressed that they actively participated in the decision making for community development projects. |
| Denominator: Number of total citizen/people included in the survey/interview. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementation phase, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |

| |
|--|
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): SB raises awareness of the 14 step planning process, block grant policies for focus groups, and the roles and responsibilities of citizens during planning, implementation and monitoring of community development projects through media and other mass communication means of SB. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective C |
| Data Source: Citizens from SB area |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, perception and end-line surveys |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Baseline survey data are only representative at project level. This will undermine the district level variation based on socio-economic and geopolitical context and peoples' caste/ethnicities. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Ask external consultant to make sample size representative at district level by caste/ethnicities, gender and age groups. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of citizens' view on their active participation in community development projects by districts and project areas by implementation phases, gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 32 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: C) Communities implement inclusive development policies effectively |
| Project IR: C.2) Inclusive management systems are adopted |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.3 Civic participation and advocacy increased |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to promote community development projects allocated to women, youth or marginalized group as per VDC block grant guidelines for focus groups and citizen roles and responsibilities in identification, planning, implementation and monitoring of community projects.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: C.2.02 Proportion of community projects that are allocated to women, youth or |

| |
|---|
| marginalized groups. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the status of community projects allocated to women, youth and marginalized groups as per VDC block grant guidelines and GESI strategies. The project beneficiaries will determine whether a project is for women, youth or marginalized groups. There are defined GoN guidelines for target groups' (women, children and marginalized communities) projects and the project benefiting directly to these groups are considered "under target groups' projects". Numerator: Number of projects allocated to women, youth or marginalized groups by VDCs. Denominator: Number of total Projects funded by VDCs included in survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDC projects allocated to women, youth and marginalized groups |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): VDC officials and planning mechanisms have been trained to plan community projects for women, youth and marginalized groups through adopting the 14 step planning process and VDC Block Grant guidelines for focus groups. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities conducted by Governance LNGOs. Objective C |
| Data Source: VDC's community development projects for women, youth and marginalized groups |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, Perception Surveys, Mid-line and End-line Survey and VDC plans survey by Governance LNGOs. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Baseline survey data are only representative at the project level. This will undermine the district level variation based on socio-economic and geopolitical context and peoples' caste/ethnicities. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Ask to make sample size representative at the district level. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of community development projects allocated to women, youth and marginalized by groups by districts and project areas by implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and , Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 33

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: C) Communities implementing inclusive development policies effectively |
| Project IR: C.3) Systems for sustainability are established |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of implementing partners. This includes providing training on OCA and preparation of performance improvement plans and its implementation. Provide training on OPI and performed OPI assessment periodically.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: C.3.01 Proportion of partners that improve their organizational capacity. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the improvement of organizational capacity of the SB implementing partners. Numerator: Number total SB implementing partners in the reporting period. Denominator: Number of total SB implementing partners that improved their OPI score in the reporting period. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: by different domains |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): Strengthening the SB Partners capacity to improve their performance is one the key tasks to improve SB results. Therefore this indicator has been selected to measure the performance of implementing partners through OPI. The findings will be used to make changes in SB planning and programing for partners capacity building. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Periodic actions plan implemented under OCA and periodic OPI assessment |
| Data Source: Partners executive board members, staffs and fact sheets (project under implementation, pipeline, coverage of beneficiaries, geographical coverage and people trust). |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, Perception and End-line Surveys |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Jan. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Jan. 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Partners staffs had not much confidence and clarification on the terms, process and document to be referred for OPI assessment. Limited follow up for OCA action plan implementation by Pact. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to re-orient them on OPI and regular follow up to monitor implementation of OCA action plans |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of partners improved their OPI score vs implemented OCA action plans. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |

| |
|--|
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: % of implementing partners |
| Baseline Units (optional): |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: Revise to "proportion of partners" from "proportion of user groups" as SB only occasionally works with user groups; change tool to Pact's Community Performance Index |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 34 |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.1) Local government officials effectively carry out their mandate |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (<i>be specific</i>): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of VDCs to deliver their services efficiently and effectively. This includes providing training to operate computer based accounting packages and vital registration systems, providing training to VDC officials on office management and good governance including transparency and accountability, display of citizen charter at office premises, and support to develop periodic village development plans, fundraising plans, VDC profiles, and resource mobilization plans in the 14 step planning process.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.1.01 Percentage improvement in positive citizen views on VDC service delivery. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the improvement of organizational capacity of the VDCs for service delivery as perceived by citizens/service receivers. Positive view means people expressing satisfaction on quality of service received including timeliness and behaviors of VDC officials providing the service. |
| Numerator: Number of citizens/service receivers that expressed positive views on improvements of VDC service delivery. |
| Denominator: Number of total citizens/service receivers interviewed during the survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project phases, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (<i>optional</i>): Strengthening the VDC capacity to deliver their services to citizens and service seekers is one the key tasks to improve VDC performance as a local body. Therefore this indicator has been selected to measure the performance of VDC service delivery through positive citizen/service receiver views. The findings will be used to make changes in SB planning and programing. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: Citizens from SB area |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, Perception and End-line Surveys |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually, in April and October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (<i>optional</i>): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |

| |
|---|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling of households from the project area provides a small sample of the respondents having received services who can judge the service delivery. Client exit interviews will be a more appropriate method to collect such types of data on service delivery. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to design client exit interview methods and tools to collect data and include in the coming survey. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of citizens with positive views on the service delivery of VDC by districts, gender, caste/ethnicities, and age groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: "Federalism and decentralization" have been removed from the indicator because SB is supporting the improvement of VDC capacity to deliver services to citizens/service seekers. |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 35 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.1) Local government officials effectively carry out their mandate |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of VDCs to provide training to VDC officials on office management and good governance including transparency and accountability, displaying the citizen charter on office premises, and preparing periodic village development plans, fundraising plans, VDC profiles, and resource mobilization plans through the 14 step planning process. SB also provides training to members of WCF, IPFC and Monitoring Committees on the 14 step planning process, VDC block grant guidelines, GESI strategies and their roles and responsibilities during the 14 step planning process, project implementation and monitoring.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.1.02 Number of sub-national entities receiving USG assistance that change their performance on the Minimum Condition and Performance Measure (MC/PM) index. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom (based on SAF 2.2.3-5), Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures improvement on the MC/PM index of VDCs related to VDC planning, implementation and monitoring capacities according to the 14 step planning process, VDC Block Grant guidelines, GESI strategies and more. VDCs have to comply with the MCs if they are to be eligible to receive additional grants from the government. Indicators for MCs are core functional areas of LBs such as planning and budgeting, financial management, functioning of various committees, transparency, etc. PMs provide a range of scores in different functional areas that help |

| |
|--|
| to assess the service delivery capacity and efficiency. A VDC's annual grant will depend on the scores achieved in PMs. The indicators of the PMs evaluate the procedures, result and quality of the different working areas of the VDCs. These indicators direct the VDCs to monitor their own functioning, to improve internal working capacity and to compare their activities with other VDCs. Numerator: Not applicable. Denominator: Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure: Number of VDCs |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementing phases |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): Knowing the MC/PM, SB can plan to improve the MC/MP scores of the VDCs having poor performance. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: VDCs/Local Bodies Fiscal Commission (LBFC) |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Secondary data from LBFC at baseline, perception and end-line surveys |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually, in April |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling of VDCs from project areas will only indicate the trend but it will not provide a full enumeration of VDCs having lower MC/PM scores. This does not support the development of plans for improvement. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect MC/PM score for all SB VDCs. Therefore Governance LNGOs will be asked to collect MC/PM from all VDCs. Alternatively MC/PM scores can be collected directly from the LBFC website. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of VDCs by districts having different MC/PM score categories |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: Pact's Government OPI has been replaced by MC/PM Index. |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 36

| |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.1) Local government officials effectively carry out their mandate |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of VDC officials through training on office management, operation of computer based accounting packages and vital registration package, public administration, inclusive management, planning and implementation of VDC plans and good governance including transparency and accountability.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.1.03 Number of VDC officials trained and/or mentored in public administration, inclusive management, planning and implementation of VDC plans. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures knowledge and skills provided to VDC officials to improve VDC service delivery capacity and overall performance of the VDC to improve MC/PM index. VDC officials include VDC Secretary, technician, Office Assistant, Computer Operator, and Finance Assistant. Number of officials depends on VDC budget and may vary. Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of officials |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDCs, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To improve the VDC service delivery capacity and its MC/PM index the VDC official must be equipped with required knowledge and skills to perform their task efficiently and effectively. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: Training registers and Training Database |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Submission of Training Database from Governance LNGOs to SB |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Collected monthly, reported quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Training Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Information on training events was collected in MS Excel with a list of names of participants. Manual compilation of training information with name lists and extracting numbers of participant has been a time consuming task for the M&E Team. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Training database has been developed in MS Access and Governance LNGOs are trained to operate the system. The Training Database has provisions to enter data and preview different analyzed reports disaggregated by gender, caste/ethnicities, age groups and VDCs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of VDC officials trained in different subjects disaggregated by gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups of VDC officials. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |

| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
|--|
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 37 |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.2) Local government units and CBOs/CSOs collaborate to identify local development priorities. |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (<i>be specific</i>): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of MOFALD's M&E section and Planning section; implementing MOFALD policies, strategies, plans and programs such as training VDC officials to operate accounting packages and vital case registration packages both developed by MOFALD for local bodies; and support to develop PDDPs and PVDPs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.2.01 Number of times technical assistance is provided to government ministries. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures technical assistance provided to MoFALD for implementation of its policies, strategies, plans and programs. Technical assistance means support provided in the formulation or/and implementation of MoFALD policies, strategies, plans and programs usually by hiring consultants or experts. |
| Numerator: Not applicable Denominator: Not applicable |
| Unit of Measure: Number of times |
| Disaggregated by: Type of technical assistance |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (<i>optional</i>): To improve the implementation of MOFALD policies, strategies, plans and programs in SB DDC and VDCs, SB is required to provide technical assistance to DDCs/VDCs through MOFALD. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: MoFALD/Pact Nepal |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Consultation with SB Program Staffs and respective POCs |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annual |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (<i>optional</i>): DevResults |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |

| |
|---|
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Information has not been collected so far. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to plan the technical assistance events in consultation with MOFALD. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Number of technical assistance provided by type of assistance and subject matter (policies, strategies, plan and program formulation, revision or implementation). |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 38 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.2) Local government units and CBOs/CSOs collaborate to identify local development priorities. |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of WCFs to track budget allocations by VDC and approved by VDC Council for projects prioritized by them for their wards based on VDC block grant guidelines and GESI strategies. SB also facilitates meetings of WCFs to track budget allocations by VDCs for their wards under different community development projects.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.2.02 Proportion of Ward Citizen Forums that have tracked their budget allocation. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the capacity of WCFs to track budget allocations by VDCs for their wards' projects based on their recommendation as per VDC block grant guidelines and GESI strategies. |
| Numerator: Number of WCFs that tracked their budget allocation by VDCs |
| Denominator: Number of total WCFs included in the survey. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To assess the capacity of WCFs for tracking their budget allocation by VDCs. The WCFs that do not track their budget allocation will be capacitated to do so through revision of SB plans and activities. |

| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
|---|
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: WCF budget tracking meeting minutes |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Institutional (WCF) survey |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling from WCFs from project areas will only indicate the trend but will not provide a full enumeration of WCFs that have not tracked their budget allocation. This does not help to make a plan for improvement. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect data from WCFs to make improvement plans. Therefore Governance LNGOs will be asked to collect data on budget tracking from all WCFs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of WCFs who tracked their budget allocation by VDCs for different types of community development projects. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 39

Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development

Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively

Project IR: D.2) Local government units and CBOs/CSOs collaborate to identify local development priorities.

Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened

Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of VDCs to plan, implement and monitor community development projects based on inclusive participatory planning process, VDC block grant guidelines and GESI strategies. SB also provides technical support for the 14 step planning process and good governance training including transparency and accountability to VDCs officials. SB also raises awareness of the 14 step planning process, the roles and responsibilities of citizens during the 14 step planning process, and implementation and monitoring of approved community development projects.)

Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.2.03 Percentage change in positive citizen views on the effective implementation of community development projects

Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 **If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:** **Indicator Type:** Custom, Outcome

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION

Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures citizen perceptions on effective implementation of community projects at the VDC level. In other words, it measures the capacity of VDCs for “effective implementation” of community projects as perceived by citizens as such. Positive view means citizen reporting that community development projects were implemented with the participation of beneficiaries in management and monitoring of projects and that materials used were of good quality

Numerator: Number of citizen expressing positive views on the effective implementation of community development projects

Denominator: Number of total citizens included in the survey/interview.

Unit of Measure: Percentage (%)

Disaggregated by: Districts, project phases, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group of respondents

Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To assess the capacity of VDCs for implementing community development project effectively through citizen perceptions.

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D

Data Source: Citizens from SB areas involved in community project planning, implementation and monitoring

Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, perception and end-line surveys

Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually

Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR

Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP

Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data)

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager

Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager

Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling from all citizens in a project area will not necessarily capture the views of those knowledgeable about the project.

Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to select citizens involved either in planning, implementation and monitoring who can judge the project’s effectiveness. Sampling should be done from participants of community development projects.

PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING

Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of citizen who expressed positive views on effective implementation of community development projects disaggregated by gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups.

| |
|--|
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 40 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.2) Local government units and CBOs/CSOs collaborate to identify local development priorities. |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of VDCs to provide training to VDC officials on office management and good governance including transparency and accountability, displaying the citizen charter on office premises, and preparing periodic village development plans, fundraising plans, VDC profiles, and resource mobilization plans through the 14 step planning process. SB also provides training to members of WCF, IPFC and Monitoring Committees on the 14 step planning process, VDC block grant guidelines, GESI strategies and their roles and responsibilities during the 14 step planning process, project implementation and monitoring.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.2.04 Number of sub-national government received USG assistance to increase their annual own source revenue. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom (based on SAF 2.2.3-5), Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of VDCs that increased their annual own source of revenue using their strategies mentioned in their revenue generation plan. Numerator: Not applicable. Denominator: Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure: Number of VDCs |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementing phases |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): Knowing the increased own source revenue SB can support VDCs to plan for improving the utilization of their revenue. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: VDCs revenue generation report. |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Secondary data from VDCs audit report and annual progress report. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually, in April |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |

| |
|---|
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Oct 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling of VDCs from project areas will only indicate the trend but it will not provide a full enumeration of VDCs having lower own source revenue. This does not support the development of plans for improvement. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect this information for all SB VDCs. Therefore Governance LNOs will be asked to collect this information from all VDCs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of VDCs by districts having increased own source revenue |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 41 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.2) Local government units and CBOs/CSOs collaborate to identify local development priorities. |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of WCFs, CACs, IPFCs and VSMCs to engaged in LLPP and adopt practice of good governance including transparency and accountability, displaying the citizen charter on office premises, and preparing periodic village development plans, fundraising plans, VDC profiles, and resource mobilization plans through the 14 step planning process. SB also provides training to members of WCF, IPFC and VSMC on the 14 step planning process, VDC block grant guidelines, GESI strategies and their roles and responsibilities during the 14 step planning process, project implementation and monitoring.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.2.05 Number of local mechanisms supported with USG assistance for citizen to engage their sub-national government. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom (based on SAF 2.2.3-5), Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of government mechanisms such as WCFs, CACs, IPFCs and VSMCs that increased their role in facilitation of citizens to engage their VDCs officials in different VDC service delivery and community development projects. |

| |
|--|
| Numerator: Not applicable. Denominator: Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure: Number of local mechanisms. |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementing phases |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by SB to build capacity of local mechanisms to play facilitation role in peacebuilding process, reconciliation and inclusive participatory planning and community development. |
| Data Source: Local mechanisms minute books. |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Data collected from local mechanisms minute books |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually, in October |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Oct 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling of local mechanisms will only indicate the trend but it will not provide a full list of mechanisms that not played their role effectively. This does not support the development of plans for further improvement. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect this information for all local mechanisms by implementing partners. Therefore Governance LNGOs will be asked to collect this information from all local mechanisms. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of local mechanisms by VDCs and districts having played effective role in facilitation of citizens' engagement with their VDC official for effective service delivery and community development planning and implementation. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 42

| |
|---|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.2) Local government units and CBOs/CSOs collaborate to identify local development priorities. |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of local non-government and public sector association to engaged in LLPP and adopt practice of good governance including transparency and accountability, displaying the citizen charter on office premises, and preparing periodic village development plans, fundraising plans, VDC profiles, and resource mobilization plans through the 14 step planning process.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.2.06 Number of local non-government and public sector associations supported with USG assistance. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom (based on SAF 2.2.3-5), Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of non-government and public sector association supported by SB such as district level LYGs network (DYNs), District level NGOs Federation, district level CMs association, district level VDC secretary association and similar others type of association. |
| Numerator: Not applicable. Denominator: Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure: Number of local non-government and public sector associations. |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementing phases |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): Knowing the increased facilitation role SB can support associations to plan for improving their facilitation role further. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: G&C Department and Association minutes books. |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Data collected from G&C Department and Association minute book and maintain database for association supported. |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Annually, in April |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Oct. 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager/Senior GIS Officer |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: Oct 2016 |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Random sampling of association will only indicate the trend but it will not provide a full list of associations having not played their role effectively. This does not support the development of plans for improvement. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect this information for all associations supported by SB. Therefore M&E Unit of SB /implementing partners will have to collect this information from all associations. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of association supported by type and districts having played effective role in facilitation of citizens' engagement with their local government (VDCs/DDCs) and district level government line agencies officials for effective service delivery and community development planning and implementation. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |

| |
|--|
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 43 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: D) Local government units function effectively |
| Project IR: D.3) Communities engage in local government budget and planning processes |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to strengthening the capacity of VDCs to plan, implement and monitor community development projects based on inclusive participatory planning processes, VDC block grant guidelines and GESI strategies. SB also provides technical support for the 14 step planning process and good governance training to VDCs officials including transparency and accountability. SB also raises awareness on the 14 step planning process, and the roles and responsibilities of citizens during the 14 step planning process, implementation and monitoring of approved community development projects.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: D.3.01 Proportion of projects at VDC level that were budgeted in the previous planning cycle, that were in the top 5 priorities list of Ward Citizen Forum (WCFs) |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the effectiveness of WCFs to identify and prioritize projects for their own wards and the effectiveness of Integrated Planning Formulation Committee (IPFC) to compile and prioritize the projects recommended by WCFs and VDCs. In other words, it will measure the capacity of the community to direct their own development. |
| Numerator: Number of projects at VDC level that were budgeted in the previous planning cycle were also in the top 5 priorities list of WCFs |
| Denominator: Number of total projects at VDCs level that were budgeted in the previous planning cycle. |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, project phase |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To assess the capacity of WCFs for project identification and prioritization in their wards based on VDC block grant guidelines, GESI strategies, and inclusive participatory planning process. It also assesses the capacity and performance of VDC planning mechanisms to adopt the 14 step planning process and value the recommendations of WCFs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective D |
| Data Source: WCFs, ward level planning meeting minutes, IPFCs, and IPFC meeting minutes to recommend the project to |

| |
|---|
| VDCs, and VDC Council approved projects lists with budget allocation, VDC Council meeting minutes |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Institutional survey of WCFs, IPFCs and VDCs |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually/annually |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Data collected from VDCs alone will not provide opportunities to triangulate the findings to analyze the indicator value. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect data from WCFs, IPFCs and VDCs from the same VDCs for number of projects identified, prioritized and recommended to upper mechanisms for consideration. The final number of projects approved with budget allocation by VDC council needs to be collected by VDCs. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of projects recommended by WCFs on 5 top priorities got approved by VDCs Councils by districts, project areas by implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |

| |
|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 44 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: E) Cross-Cutting |
| Project IR: E.1) Cross-Cutting Indicators |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to Micro-grant support to Communities and LNGOs implementing SB activities.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: E.1.01/CBLD-1: Number of awards made directly to local organization |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF Capacity Building Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of awards made directly to local organization, i.e. local NGOs and |

| |
|---|
| Project Management Committees of micro-grant projects in SB VDCs. Local organization means organization working at district level including community based organizations. Numerator: Not applicable. Denominator: Not applicable. |
| Unit of Measure: Number of awards |
| Disaggregated by: Districts and VDCs |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To measure capacity development of local partners. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Governance LNGOs. Objective: Cross-cutting |
| Data Source: SB G&C files |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Review of Grant and Contract agreements |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults Grants and Contracts Database |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: No data has been collected for micro-grant database |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect data for micro-grant database. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of project types implemented by community, by district and by project implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 45

| |
|--|
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: E) Cross-Cutting |
| Project IR: E.1) Cross-Cutting Indicators |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.3: Civic participation and advocacy increased |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to micro-grant support to communities, vocational training to communities, entrepreneurship and skills based training provided by WORTH NGOs to WEG members, skills based training to youth by Youth Initiatives and internship opportunities at Pact Nepal, IPs and LNGOs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: E.1.02/GNDR-2: Proportion of female participants in USG-assisted programs designed to increase access to productive economic resources (assets, credit, income or employment) |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF Gender Indicator Type: Standard, Output |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| Precise Definition(s): This indicator measures the number of females provided training on skills development, given access to productive economic resources through micro-grant projects, vocational training on WEG formation and capacity building, skills based training by Youth initiative, or internship opportunities at Pact Nepal, IPs and LNGOs. Numerator: Females participating in activities related to increasing access to economic resources Denominator: All participants in activities related to increasing access to economic resources |
| Unit of Measure: Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: Districts, VDCs, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To promote economic empowerment among women and girls in project districts and VDCs. Address lack of economic resources as a major barrier to gender equality and women's empowerment. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by SB. Objective: Cross-cutting |
| Data Source: Group Database, Training Database, Micro-grant Database, Internship Database, group records, training registers, internship records at Pact Nepal, IPs and LNGOs |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Database/records review and compilation |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Quarterly |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Training Database, Internship Database, Group/WEG Database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: The limitation of this indicator is that it does not track the quality of the program or actual increases or improvements in assets, income, or returns to an enterprise. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to collect data for micro-grant database, vocational training and consolidate interns' data regularly. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of females benefiting from different skills based training, loans, productive economic assets such as agriculture or micro irrigation equipment, and employment (both self-employed and given a job) by district, project areas by implementation phases, caste/ethnicities and age groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |

| |
|--|
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Not applicable |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

| |
|--|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 46 |
| Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: E) Cross-Cutting |
| Project IR: E.1) Cross-Cutting Indicators |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.3: Civic participation and advocacy increased |
| Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to GBV including 16 days activism. Awareness activities through organizing discussion and interaction on GBV issues by SB supported FMs.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: E.1.03/GNDR-7: Percentage of target population that views GBV as less acceptable after participating in or being exposed to USG programming. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: SAF Gender Indicator Type: Standard, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION |
| <p>Precise Definition(s): This indicator is a proxy to measure the effectiveness of USAID programs designed to change social attitudes about GBV and reduce the numbers of men and women in a target population who believe that GBV is socially acceptable behavior.</p> <p>Gender-based violence (GBV) is an umbrella term for any harmful act that is perpetrated against a person's will, and that is based on socially ascribed (gender) differences between males and females. Forms of gender-based violence include, but are not limited to, domestic or intimate partner violence; rape as a weapon of war; sexual violence and abuse; female infanticide; psychological or emotional abuse; sexual harassment or violence in the workplace or in educational institutions; and harmful traditional practices including female genital mutilation/cutting, honor crimes, early marriage, forced marriage, bride kidnapping, and dowry-related violence.</p> <p>The unit of measure is a proportion, expressed in the form of X/Y, where the numerator is the number of persons in the target group whose scores on the "Attitudes Toward GBV Survey" have decreased and the denominator is the total number of persons who participated in the relevant training/programming during SB implementation.</p> <p>Numerator: Number of people who view GBV as less acceptable. Denominator: Number of total people included survey/interview.</p> <p>Unit of Measure: Percentage (%)</p> <p>Disaggregated by: Districts, project areas by implementing phase, gender, caste/ethnicity and age group</p> <p>Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): To prevent gender based violence, it is important that men and women agree that GBV should not be tolerated or acceptable. This also ties in with SB's objective of establishing an enabling environment, since GBV has been identified as the second-most reported conflict in project</p> |

| |
|--|
| districts. The findings will be used to improve the plans and programs of SB in future. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by SB. Objective: Cross-cutting |
| Data Source: Citizen from SB area |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Baseline, perception and end-line surveys |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annual |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Survey database (raw data) |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Few activities plan to raise awareness and sensitization on GBV. |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to plan activities to raise awareness and sensitization on GBV among people from SB VDCs |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of people expressing GBV “less acceptable” by gender, caste/ethnicities and age groups, districts and project area by implementation phases. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| Baseline Units (optional): Districts and Project areas by implementation phases |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |

Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 47

Project Goal: Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development

Project Objective: E) Cross-Cutting

Project IR: E.1) Cross-Cutting Indicators

Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): CDCS IR 1.2 Accountability of selected institutions strengthened

Activity Name: Sajhedari Bikaas activities on advocacy training to LNGO and LNGOs and National NGOs advocating for women right, community mediation, local level planning process, public audit, public hearing, social accountability of WCF, IPFC and VSMC members to the communities.

Number/Name of Performance Indicator: E.1.04 Number of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) receiving USG assistance engaged in advocacy interventions (2.4.1-9)

Performance Plan and Report Indicator: Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2015, 2016 **If yes, link to foreign assistance framework:** **Indicator Type:** Custom, Output

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION

Precise Definition(s): CSOs are defined as any organizations, whether formal or informal, that are not part of the apparatus of government, that do not distribute profits to their directors or operators, that are self-governing, and in which participation is a matter of free choice. Both member-serving and public-serving organizations are included. Embraced within this definition, therefore, are private, not-for-profit health providers, schools, advocacy groups, social service agencies, anti-poverty groups, development agencies, professional associations, community-based organizations, unions, religious bodies, recreation organizations, cultural institutions, and many more. (USAID CSO Sustainability Index).

CSOs in USG programs that initiate or participate in advocacy interventions are counted toward this indicator. Advocacy should be understood as a means for individuals, constituencies, or organizations to shape public agendas, change public policies, and influence other processes that impact their lives. Advocacy does not involve one march, meeting or poster, but a series of strategic, interconnected, integrated activities designed to achieve a goal. It may include a wide range of activities, such as, lobbying, public interest litigation, letter writing campaigns, civil disobedience, etc. Advocacy interventions tend to:

- Be strategic (a deliberate, planned action, not random);
- Involve a set of actions that are sustained in order to build and direct pressure;
- Be designed to persuade;
- Be targeted;
- Involve alliance building.

Successful advocacy efforts result in change. Operating Units should specify the type of advocacy interventions in their PMP data reference sheet and use the PPR indicator narrative to describe the impact, scale/scope of the advocacy interventions.

Numerator: Not applicable **Denominator:** Not applicable

Unit of Measure: Number

Disaggregated by: Districts

Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional):

PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID

Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by SB. Objective: Cross-cutting

Data Source: Activities completion reports, submitted documents for policy advocacy,

Method of Data Acquisition: Activities progress report review and review of submitted documents for advocacy

Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Quarterly

Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR

Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP

Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults (disaggregated indicator data), Activities progress report database

DATA QUALITY ISSUES

| | |
|--|--|
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): | This a new indicator |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: | May 2015, M&E Director/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: | Not know yet because this is a new indicator |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: | Not application being a new indicator |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING | |
| Data Analysis (optional): | Proportion of CSOs (local and national NGOs) supported by SB performed advocacy events for number of issues. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): | |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): | |
| Team Review (optional): | |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS | |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): | Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): | |
| Other Notes (optional): | |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION | |
| Data Reporting Units: | Not applicable |
| Baseline Units (optional): | Not applicable |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR | |
| Changes to Indicator: | |

| | |
|--|---|
| Activity Performance Indicator Reference Sheet – Indicator No. 48 | |
| Project Goal: | Targeted communities are empowered to better direct their own local development |
| Project Objective: | E) Cross-Cutting |
| Project IR: | E.1) Cross-Cutting Indicators |
| Linkage(s) to other USAID Results Statements (be specific): | CDCS IR 1.3: Civic participation and advocacy increased |
| Activity Name: | Sajhedari Bikaas (Activities related to support FM radio stations to produce quality radio program on governance, the 14 step local planning process, community mediation, women's empowerment, GBV and SB related success stories and interactions/interviews with SB beneficiaries.) |
| Number/Name of Performance Indicator: | E.1.05 Proportion of supported FM radio stations that produce quality radio programs that address issues on local government, youth, women, disadvantaged groups. |
| Performance Plan and Report Indicator: | Yes, reporting period Fiscal Years: 2014, 2015, 2016 If yes, link to foreign assistance framework: Indicator Type: Custom, Outcome |
| PERFORMANCE INDICATOR DESCRIPTION | |
| Precise Definition(s): | This indicator measures the capacity of FM radio stations to produce quality radio programs that contribute to the goals and objectives of SB. Quality of radio program is determined by the programs made from comments by listeners and radio listeners' groups and/or those radio programs that are discussed or advised by content advisory committees. |
| Numerator: | Number of FM radio stations that demonstrated capacity to produce quality radio program. |
| Denominator: | Number of total FM radio stations included in assessment/survey. |
| Unit of Measure: | Percentage (%) |
| Disaggregated by: | Districts |
| Rationale or Management Utility, USAID Integration Approach (optional): | To assess the capacity FM radio stations to produce quality radio program to contribute in achieving SB goal and objectives. The findings will be used to provide |

| |
|--|
| feedback to FM radio stations to improve quality of radio programming. |
| PLAN FOR DATA COLLECTION BY USAID |
| Sub-Activities/Sub-contracts/Sub-awards: Activities implemented by Equal Access (EA). Objective: Cross-cutting |
| Data Source: FM radio stations, EA, radio program electronic copies |
| Method of Data Acquisition: Radio program quality assessment |
| Frequency and Timing of Data Acquisition: Semi-annually/annually |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Data at USAID: Meghan Nalbo, COR |
| Individual(s) Responsible for Providing Data to USAID: Nick Langton, COP |
| Location of Data Storage (optional): DevResults |
| DATA QUALITY ISSUES |
| Date of Most Recent Data Quality Assessment and Name(s) of Reviewer(s): Dec. 2014, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Date of Future Data Quality Assessments: May 2015, PBMS Manager/M&E Manager |
| Known Data Limitations and Significance: Definition of criteria to assess the radio program quality is not known to external consultants to assess the quality of the radio program produced. Therefore only people's perceptions have been collected for some radio programs such as <i>Saathi Sanga Man Ka Kura</i> , <i>Naya Nepal</i> and <i>Sajhedari</i> . |
| Actions Taken or Planned to Address Data Limitations: Need to define criteria for quality radio program to assess its quality. External consultant needs to be oriented to the criteria before they assess it. Content of <i>Saathi Sanga Man Ka Kura</i> , <i>Naya Nepal</i> and <i>Sajhedari</i> radio programming needs to be assessed to determine overall program quality. |
| PLAN FOR DATA ANALYSIS, REVIEW, & REPORTING |
| Data Analysis (optional): Proportion of FM radio stations who produced quality radio program to address the issues on local governance, youth, women and disadvantaged groups. |
| Presentation of Data (optional): |
| Initial Review Conducted by (optional): |
| Team Review (optional): |
| BASELINE AND TARGETS |
| Baseline Timeframe (optional): Jan 2014 |
| Rationale for Targets (optional): |
| Other Notes (optional): |
| GEOGRAPHIC DIMENSION |
| Data Reporting Units: District |
| Baseline Units (optional): District |
| CHANGES TO PERFORMANCE INDICATOR |
| Changes to Indicator: |
| |